



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/604,661	08/07/2003	Roberto Teran, Jr.	81044283 (36190-773)	1660

28549 7590 02/05/2009

Dickinson Wright PLLC
38525 Woodward Avenue
Suite 2000
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 02/05/2009

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)	Application No. 10/604,661	Applicant(s) TERAN, JR. ET AL.	
	Examiner DANIEL CAVALLARI	Art Unit 2836	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 21 November 2008 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an amended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer.
EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1. ☐ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☐ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. ☒ (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)).
6. ☐ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. ☐ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner **and relied upon by appellant in the appeal**, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

See Continuation Sheet.

/Richard Elms/
SPE AU 2836
2.2.09

/Fritz M Fleming/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2836

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): The Appeal Brief contains numerous errors including: (1) Section V, "Summary of Claimed Subject Matter" fails to provide the line and page numbers of the specification where support for the arguments and component reference numbers can be found; (2) Section V merely recites components by reference number without any reference to the particular figure (Figure 1, 2, or 3) being referenced; (3) Section V erroneously discloses reference 18 as "A non-hybrid internal combustion engine controller" wherein the specification discloses reference 18 as "a controller" (see Specification, paragraph 21); (4) The same mislabeling occurs with component 34, disclosed as "an engine" in the specification (see paragraph 21) however referred to as "the internal combustion engine", in the Appeal Brief; (5) Appellant has failed to provide references to either the specification (line and page number) or the figures where support can be found for the claimed limitation of "non-idle air valve related functions".

Appeal Brief 1/30/2009 Conferees: Richard Elms (/RTE/), Fritz Fleming (/FMF/), and Daniel Cavallari (/DJC/)

/Richard Elms/
SPE AU 2836
2.2.09